

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/995,872	11/29/2001	Si-Nin Quan		1604	
7	590 10/07/2002				
Si-Nin Quan			EXAMINE		
3186 Yakima Circle San Jose, CA 95121			DUVERNE	DUVERNE, JEAN F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2839		
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# →Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/995,872

Applica.

Kle

Quan et al

Examiner

Jean Duverne

Art Unit 2839



•	· The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
	for Reply			
A SH THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM		
- Exte	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed		
- If the	e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day	rs, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will		
- If NO	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi		
- Failu - Any	reply received by the Office later than three months after the	by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status	rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 14,	2002		
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This ac	ction is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $\textit{Ex p}$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-10	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌		is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
a) 🗀	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:			
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received.		
;	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received in Application No		
	application from the International Bure	locuments have been received in this National Stage eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14)	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the			
17/4	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	s priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachme	ent(s)			
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/995,872

Art Unit: 2839

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

The abstract abstract is also ojected to as been less than 50 words.

Art Unit: 2839

#### Claim Objections

2. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: the term "can" as recite in the claim is positively claimed. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of 37 CFR 1.71(a)-(c):

- (a) The specification must include a written description of the invention or discovery and of the manner and process of making and using the same, and is required to be in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art or science to which the invention or discovery appertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same.
- (b) The specification must set forth the precise invention for which a patent is solicited, in such manner as to distinguish it from other inventions and from what is old. It must describe completely a specific embodiment of the process, machine, manufacture, composition of matter or improvement invented, and must explain the mode of operation or principle whenever applicable. The best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention must be set forth.
- (c) In the case of an improvement, the specification must particularly point out the part or parts of the process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter to which the improvement relates, and the description should be confined to the specific improvement and to such parts as necessarily cooperate with it or as may be necessary to a complete understanding or description of it.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,872 Page 4

Art Unit: 2839

The specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71 because it fails to inculude features recited in the claims limitations such as the plug tip, the spacer, positive lock, metal clamps, flexible means, predetermined grooves, slots, the dowels.

- 4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,872 Page 5

Art Unit: 2839

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 (Use when it is unclear)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(b) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(b) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of

this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject

matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art

to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(b) as obvious insofar as the claims can be

understood due to indefiniteness noted above, any difference between them and the applied art

Sturdevan (US patent 4,810,198), Frost (US patent 5,332,394), Imanishi et al (US patent 5,421,736),

Berthold (US patent 1,509,224), Evans et al (US patent 5,813,872) are deemed to have been obvious

matter of design.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Sturdevan (US patent 4,810,198), Frost (US patent 5,332,394), Imanishi et al (US patent

5,421,736), Berthold (US patent 1,509,224), Evans et al (US patent 5,813,872) disclose a splug tip,

splug wire metal clamp for connection and disconnection limitations, grooves, and a movable rubber

boot.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

Application/Control Number: 09/995,872

Page 6

Art Unit: 2839

should be directed to Jean Duverne whose telephone number is (703) 305 - 0297. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reached on (703)308-2710. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308 - 7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

**JFD** 

October 3, 2002

Jean E. Duverne

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2839